

THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION POLICIES ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Sidderatul Akbar *¹

Fisip ULM Banjarmasin
sidderatulakbar@gmail.com

Agung Cucu Purnawirawan

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Syariah Nahdlatul Ulama Bengkulu
E-mail: agung.cp@stiesnu-bengkulu.ac.id

Al-Amin

Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia
al.amin-2024@feb.unair.ac.id

Abstract

Public administration policies have a significant influence on a country's economic development. Through various regulations, programmes, and resource allocations, the government can shape the enabling or constraining environment for economic growth. Effective policies can encourage investment, increase productivity, and create jobs. Conversely, inappropriate policies can create bottlenecks and inefficiencies in the economy. The success of economic development largely depends on the government's ability to formulate and implement policies that are responsive to the needs of society and the dynamics of the global economy. Therefore, an in-depth understanding of the impact of state administrative policies on economic development is crucial for policy makers and other stakeholders in an effort to achieve sustainable economic growth and community welfare.

Keywords: Policy, Public Administration, Economic Development

Introduction

Economic development is one of the main goals of every country, including Indonesia. Economic development is a multidimensional process that involves major changes in social structure, public attitudes, and national institutions, as well as accelerated economic growth, reduced inequality, and the eradication of absolute poverty (Durman, 2020). This process not only focuses on increasing per capita income, but also includes fundamental transformations in institutions, technology, and resource allocation aimed at improving the overall quality of life of people. Economic development involves qualitative and quantitative changes in the economy, including increased productivity, technological innovation, infrastructure improvements, human resource development, and improved social welfare (Brunori, 2022).

In an effort to achieve sustainable economic growth and improve people's welfare, the role of state administrative policy is very important. State administrative policies, which cover various aspects of government management, have a significant influence on the direction and pace of a country's economic development (Oliinyk, 2024).

¹ Correspondence author

In recent decades, Indonesia has experienced various changes in state administration policies aimed at promoting economic development. Economic development has a very important role in improving the welfare of society and the progress of a country. Through economic development, a country can increase productivity, create new jobs, and increase the per capita income of its population (Dziurakh & Dmitryshyn, 2024). This in turn reduces poverty, improves living standards, and increases access to better education and healthcare. In addition, economic development also fuels innovation and technological advancement, which can increase efficiency in various sectors and open up new opportunities for sustainable economic growth (Smyrna, 2023).

Furthermore, economic development has far-reaching positive impacts on the social and political aspects of a country. When the economy expands, the government has more resources to invest in public infrastructure, education systems and other social services. This can increase social and political stability, reduce inequality, and strengthen democratic institutions (Atkinson, 2022). Economic development can also improve a country's bargaining position in the international arena, open up opportunities for greater economic co-operation, and enable the country to play a more active role in addressing global challenges such as climate change and food security. However, the implementation of such policies does not always produce the expected impact (Bukanov, 2020).

Given the complexity of the relationship between public administration policies and economic development, as well as the challenges in policy implementation, a comprehensive study is needed to analyse the impact of public administration policies on economic development in Indonesia. This research is expected to provide a deeper understanding of the effectiveness of policies that have been implemented, as well as provide recommendations for future policy improvements.

Research Methods

The study in this research uses the literature research method. Literature research method, also known as literature study or literature review, is a research method that focuses on collecting, analysing, and synthesising information from various written sources relevant to the research topic. (Firman, 2018); (Suyitno, 2021).

Results and Discussion

The Concept of Public Administration Policy

Public Administration Policy is a series of decisions and actions taken by the government or public institutions to address problems in society and achieve certain goals in the context of state administration. This policy covers various aspects of state management, from planning, organising, implementing, to evaluating government programmes. The main objective is to improve efficiency and effectiveness in governance, as well as ensuring that public services can be provided optimally to the community (Budzyn, 2022).

The scope of Public Administration Policy is vast and covers a wide range of areas. These include human resource management within the public sector, state financial management, development of government information systems, bureaucratic reform, decentralisation and regional autonomy, as well as public policy development in sectors such as education, health, economy, and security (Krushelnytska & Ivashov, 2023). Public Administration Policy is also closely related to efforts to improve government transparency and accountability, strengthen good governance, and develop mechanisms for public participation in public decision-making processes. Thus, Public Administration Policy plays a crucial role in shaping and directing government functions to achieve national development goals (Moiseienko, 2020).

Public Administration Policy can be divided into several types based on its nature, scope, and objectives. First, there are distributive policies that aim to distribute resources or benefits to certain groups in society. Second, there are regulative policies that aim to regulate the behaviour of individuals or organisations through regulations and sanctions. Third, redistributive policies that aim to shift resources from one group to another in society (Perepechko & Zukerblat, 2021). Fourth, constituent policies that focus on establishing or changing government institutions. Fifth, symbolic policies that emphasise symbolic aspects rather than real impacts. Sixth, material policies that focus on the allocation of tangible resources. Finally, there are procedural policies that regulate the processes or procedures in governance. All these types of policies are interrelated and are often applied simultaneously to achieve complex and diverse public administration objectives (Priamukhina & Kravchyk, 2022).

In conclusion, Public Administration Policy is an important instrument in effective and efficient governance. It covers various aspects of state management and has a broad scope, ranging from human resource management to public policy development in various sectors. The diverse types of policies, such as distributive, regulative, redistributive, constituent, symbolic, material, and procedural, demonstrate the complexity and flexibility in the public administration approach (Diene, 2024). This diversity allows governments to respond comprehensively to the challenges and needs of society. By understanding the definition, scope, and types of Public Administration Policy, policy makers and public administrators can design and implement more effective strategies to achieve national development goals, improve the quality of public services, and realise good governance (Agbevade, 2021).

Economic Development

Economic development is a multidimensional process that includes fundamental changes in social structures, people's attitudes, and national institutions, as well as accelerated economic growth, reduced inequality, and the eradication of absolute poverty. In contrast to economic growth, which focuses only on increasing output, economic development emphasises improving the overall quality of life of people (Bilovitska, 2022). This definition emphasises that economic development is not only about

increasing per capita income, but also involves structural transformation in various aspects of people's lives.

To measure the success of economic development, several common indicators are used. These indicators include Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita, which measures the average income of the population; the Human Development Index (HDI), which incorporates aspects of education, health, and living standards; poverty and unemployment rates; income distribution as measured by the Gini Coefficient; access to basic services such as education, health, and sanitation; and environmental indicators such as carbon emissions and renewable energy use (YEPIFANOVA, 2023). In addition, social indicators such as literacy rates, life expectancy, and labour participation rates are also used to provide a more comprehensive picture of a country's economic development. The use of these various indicators reflects the multidimensional nature of economic development and the importance of considering qualitative as well as quantitative aspects in assessing a country's economic progress (Towobola, 2023).

Economic development is influenced by various interrelated and complex factors. Key factors include natural resources, which provide raw materials and economic potential; human resources, including the quantity and quality of labour as well as the level of education and skills; capital, both physical and financial, which is required for investment and infrastructure development; technology and innovation, which can increase productivity and efficiency; government institutions and policies, which create an enabling environment for economic growth; social and cultural factors, which influence attitudes towards development and entrepreneurship; political stability and security, which are important for attracting investment and sustaining long-term growth; international relations and global trade, which open up market opportunities and technology transfer; and geographical and climatic factors, which can affect production and distribution patterns (Petrenko & Kiforenko, 2020). In addition, contemporary issues such as climate change, urbanisation and globalisation also have a significant impact on the direction and pace of a country's economic development. The complex interaction between these factors determines the trajectory of economic development and explains why some countries progress faster than others (Angel, 2023).

Therefore, economic development is a complex and multidimensional process that aims to improve the overall quality of life of people. This process involves not only economic growth, but also structural transformation in various aspects of social, political and cultural life. The success of economic development is measured through various indicators, ranging from GDP per capita to the Human Development Index, which reflects the holistic nature of Development (Kharechko, 2022).

The factors that influence economic development are diverse and interrelated, including natural and human resources, capital, technology, government policies, socio-cultural conditions, as well as external factors such as international relations and global issues. An in-depth understanding of the interactions between these factors is crucial in formulating effective and sustainable development strategies (Khodakovsky, 2023).

As such, economic development is a long-term endeavour that requires a holistic and adaptive approach, taking into account the uniqueness of each country in a changing global context. The success of economic development is not only measured by growth figures, but also by improvements in people's overall well-being and quality of life.

Relationship between Public Administration Policy and Economic Development

Public Administration Policy and Economic Development have a close and mutually influential relationship. Public administration, as a mechanism for implementing government policies, plays a crucial role in creating a conducive environment for economic development (Rykov, 2020). Through effective policy formulation and implementation, public administration can promote economic growth, increase investment, and facilitate equitable distribution of public welfare. The resulting policies, ranging from economic regulations to infrastructure development programmes, directly affect the business climate and national productivity (Maistro, 2023).

On the other hand, economic development also has a significant impact on state administrative policies. Sustained economic growth allows the government to increase its administrative capacity, both through human resource enhancement and system and technology development. This in turn increases the efficiency and effectiveness of state administration in serving the public and managing national resources. In addition, the changing dynamics of the economy also require state administration to be more adaptive and responsive in formulating policies relevant to Development needs (Zvyagintsev, 2023).

This reciprocal relationship is evident in various aspects. For example, state administration policies relating to business licensing, taxation, and legal protection directly influence investment interest and private sector growth. Conversely, the rapid development of the economic sector encourages the government to improve the quality of public services and infrastructure, which requires innovation in public administration (Hall & Kanaan, 2020). In this context, intergovernmental coordination and policy synchronisation are crucial to ensure that economic development efforts are effective and sustainable.

However, this relationship also presents its own challenges. The complexity of modern economic problems often demands solutions that go beyond the traditional boundaries of state administration. This raises the need for a more holistic and collaborative approach to policy formulation. In addition, globalisation and technological advancements continue to change the economic landscape, requiring public administrations to continuously adapt and innovate (Hanson, 2021). Therefore, the continuous development of public administration capacity and the improvement of the quality of governance are key factors in supporting long-term economic development and creating prosperity for all people.

Impact of Public Administration Policy on Economic Development

Public Administration policies have a significant impact on a country's Economic Development. One of the main impacts is the creation of a conducive investment climate. Through proper regulation, simplification of bureaucracy, and consistent law enforcement, state administration can increase investor confidence, both domestic and foreign (Torichniy, 2020). This in turn encourages increased investment, which is the driving force of economic growth. Policies that support ease of doing business, such as efficient licensing systems and protection of intellectual property rights, directly impact on increased economic activity and job creation (Cairney, 2020).

The second impact is seen in the development of infrastructure and public services. Effective public administration policies in planning, budgeting and implementing infrastructure projects can accelerate the development of vital facilities such as roads, ports, airports and telecommunication networks. Good infrastructure not only improves economic connectivity and efficiency, but also attracts new investment and fuels the growth of productive sectors. In addition, improving the quality of public services, such as education and health, contributes to improving the quality of human resources, which is an important asset in long-term economic development (Tang, 2022).

Fiscal and monetary policies, as an integral part of state administration, also have a direct impact on economic stability and growth. Prudent budget management, fair and efficient taxation policies, and effective inflation control create a stable macroeconomic environment. This stability is important to maintain people's purchasing power, encourage consumption and investment, and increase the confidence of economic actors. Furthermore, policies that support financial inclusion and the development of MSMEs can expand people's participation in formal economic activities, ultimately contributing to more inclusive economic growth (Shainidze, 2023).

Finally, public administration policies in terms of governance and combating corruption have a profound impact on economic efficiency and resource allocation. The implementation of e-government systems, transparency in public procurement, and strengthening oversight institutions can reduce budget leakages and improve the effectiveness of public spending. This not only increases public trust in government but also creates fairer competition in the economy. Combating corruption also plays a role in reducing transaction costs in the economy, improving the efficiency of resource allocation, and ultimately promoting sustainable economic growth (Asoian, 2023).

Challenges and Opportunities in State Administration Policy Implementation

The implementation of Public Administration Policy faces various complex challenges in this modern era. One of the main challenges is resistance to change within the bureaucracy. Often, new policies aimed at improving the efficiency and effectiveness of state administration are met with resistance from the apparatus that has been accustomed to the old system (Shestakovska, 2021). This can slow down the implementation process and reduce policy effectiveness. In addition, coordination challenges between government agencies also often arise, especially in policies that

involve multiple sectors. Sectoral egos and overlapping authorities can result in incoherent and inefficient policy implementation (Durman, 2020).

On the other hand, the digital era opens up great opportunities for state administration reform. The adoption of information and communication technology in government systems (e-government) can significantly improve public service efficiency, transparency and accountability. The implementation of online systems for licensing, tax payments, and other public services not only speeds up processes but also reduces the potential for corruption (Brunori, 2022). These opportunities also include the use of big data and analytics for more informed decision-making and responsiveness to community needs. However, challenges in terms of cybersecurity and personal data protection also need to be addressed along with the utilisation of these technologies (Oliinyk, 2024).

Another significant challenge is limited resources, both financial and human. The implementation of public administration policies often requires large investments in infrastructure, training and system development. In the context of developing countries, budget constraints can be a serious obstacle. In addition, the need for competent and change-adaptive human resources is also a challenge. Capacity building of state apparatus through education and training is crucial, but often constrained by budget and resistance to change (Dziurakh & Dmitryshyn, 2024).

An exciting opportunity in the implementation of public administration policies is increased public participation and collaboration with the private sector. The era of information disclosure and social media allows the public to be more active in the policy process, from planning to evaluation. This opens up opportunities for the government to develop more responsive and inclusive policies. Collaboration with the private sector, especially in the form of public-private partnerships, also offers an innovative solution to overcome limited government resources. The challenge, however, is how to balance public interests with business interests, and ensure transparency and accountability in such partnerships (Smyrna, 2023).

The aspect of globalisation also brings its own challenges and opportunities in the implementation of public administration policies. On the one hand, globalisation demands higher administrative standards that are compatible with international practices, especially in terms of trade, investment and inter-state relations (Atkinson, 2022). This can be a challenge for countries that are still in the process of modernising their administrative systems. But on the other hand, globalisation also opens up opportunities for learning from other countries' best practices, knowledge exchange, and international cooperation in the development of state administrative capacity (Bukanov, 2020).

Another challenge that needs to be considered is the issue of sustainability and environmental impact. The implementation of public administration policies must consider aspects of long-term sustainability, including the impact on the environment. This includes efficient use of resources, reduction of waste, and adoption of environmentally friendly practices in government operations. The opportunity in this regard lies in the development

of 'green governance' that can improve efficiency while contributing to environmental conservation (Budzyn, 2022).

Thus, Public Administration Policy Implementation in the modern era faces a variety of complex challenges, ranging from internal resistance to change, limited resources, to the demands of globalisation and sustainability. However, along with these challenges, promising opportunities have also emerged. The digital revolution, increased public participation, and cross-sector co-operation pave the way for innovation and improved effectiveness of public administration.

To face these challenges and capitalise on these opportunities, a holistic and adaptive approach is required. The government needs to focus on developing human resource capacity, adopting appropriate technology, improving inter-agency coordination, and strengthening partnerships with various stakeholders. Flexibility in responding to change, commitment to transparency and accountability, and orientation towards quality public services are the keys to successful implementation of state administration policies.

Ultimately, success in overcoming these challenges and capitalising on opportunities will result in a state administration system that is more efficient, responsive and able to meet the needs of society in a changing era. This will not only improve the quality of public services, but also encourage sustainable national development and increase the country's competitiveness in the global arena.

Conclusion

State administrative policies have a very significant role in shaping and directing a country's economic development. Through various policy instruments such as regulations, budget allocations, fiscal incentives, and development programmes, state administration can create a conducive environment for economic growth, encourage investment, and increase productivity. Effective and efficient policy implementation in infrastructure, education, health, and other strategic sectors not only strengthens the economic foundation, but also increases national competitiveness in the global arena.

However, the impact of state administration policies on economic development is also highly dependent on the quality and consistency of their implementation. Policies that are not well-targeted, overlapping, or unresponsive to economic dynamics can hamper growth and even create distortions in the economy. Therefore, a holistic, evidence-based, and adaptive approach is needed in policy formulation and implementation. Periodic evaluation, inter-agency coordination, and active involvement of various stakeholders are key to ensuring that state administration policies truly contribute positively to sustainable and inclusive economic development.

References

Agbevide, A. (2021). Local Economic Development Implementation. *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_4298-1

- Angel, A. A. (2023). SERVICE POLICY OF THE STATE AS A KEY TO SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT. *State and Regions. Series: Public Administration*, 3, 90–94. <https://doi.org/10.32782/1813-3401.2023.3.14>
- Asoian, E. (2023). TOOLS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE ECONOMIC POLICY IN THE CONDITIONS OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION. *PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND LAW REVIEW*, 2, 44–51. <https://doi.org/10.36690/2674-5216-2023-2-44-51>
- Atkinson, C. L. (2022). Economic Development Policy. *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 3521–3525. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66252-3_228
- Bilovitska, Yu. A. (2022). Mechanisms of state and business cooperation in the conditions of state policy development of sustainable development of the green economy in Ukraine. *Public Administration and Customs Administration*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2310-9653-2022-spec.2>
- Brunori, D. (2022). Chapter 3: Tax Policy and Interstate Competition for Economic Development. *State Tax Policy*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 25–38. <https://doi.org/10.5771/9781538173329-25>
- Budzyn, V. (2022). FORMATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STATE SOCIAL AND HUMANITARIAN POLICY REGARDING PUBLIC HEALTH DURING THE PERIOD OF TRANSFORMATION OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY. *Law and Public Administration*, 2, 185–190. <https://doi.org/10.32840/pdu.2022.2.25>
- Bukanov, H. (2020). Economic instruments for the implementation of state environmental policy. *Public Administration Aspects*, 8(5), 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.15421/152088>
- Cairney, P. (2020). The myth of ‘evidence-based policymaking’ in a decentred state. *Public Policy and Administration*, 37(1), 46–66. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0952076720905016>
- Diene, A. (2024). Infrastructure Development and Economic Development. *Advances in Public Policy and Administration*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2917-7.ch001>
- Durman, M. O. (2020). Alternative models of formation and implementation of state regulatory policy and their relations with strategies of economic development of territories. *Public Administration and Customs Administration*, 1, 29–34. <https://doi.org/10.32836/2310-9653-2020-1.6>
- Dziurakh, Yu. M., & Dmitryshyn, Yu. B. (2024). Current trends in the state policy of private healthcare development in Ukraine. *Public Administration and Customs Administration*, 1, 17–23. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2310-9653-2024-1.3>
- Firman, F.-. (2018). PENELITIAN KUALITATIF DAN KUANTITATIF. Query date: 2024-05-25 20:59:55. <https://doi.org/10.31227/osf.io/4nq5e>
- Hall, J. L., & Kanaan, D. Z. (2020). State Tax Policy, Municipal Choice, and Local Economic Development Outcomes: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach to Performance Assessment. *Public Administration Review*, 81(3), 459–474. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13165>
- Hanson, A. (2021). Taxes and Economic Development: An Update on the State of the Economics Literature. *Economic Development Quarterly*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 2147483647–2147483647. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08912424211022832>
- Kharechko, D. O. (2022). State economic policy for the implementation of business models of social welfare in times of socio-economic crises. *Public Administration and Customs Administration*, 33(2), 40–44. <https://doi.org/10.32836/2310-9653-2022-2.7>

- Khodakovskiy, V. (2023). State financial policy of economic development. *University Economic Bulletin*, 58, 24–30. <https://doi.org/10.31470/2306-546x-2023-58-24-30>
- Krushelnytska, T. A., & Ivashov, M. F. (2023). Global trends and national controversies of state socio-economic policy on the example of pension security. *Public Administration and Customs Administration*, 36(1), 49–57. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2310-9653-2023-1.7>
- Maistro, S. (2023). STATE POLICY OF ENSURING SOCIO-ECONOMIC SECURITY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT: THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS. *Public Administration and State Security Aspects*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44. <https://doi.org/10.52363/passa-2023.2-19>
- Moiseienko, O. (2020). IMPROVEMENT OF STATE MANAGEMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF UKRAINE. *Law and Public Administration*, 3, 217–221. <https://doi.org/10.32840/pdu.2020.3.32>
- Oliinyk, D. A. (2024). COMPETITIVE STATE POLICY AS A FACTOR IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC SYSTEM OF THE REGION. 'Scientific Notes of Taurida V.I. Vernadsky University', Series 'Public Administration', 1, 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.32782/tnu-2663-6468/2024.1/24>
- Perepechko, L., & Zukerblat, D. (2021). Improving the State Policy in the Field of Intellectual Property Management in the Context of Sustainable Economic Development. *Proceedings of the 1st International Scientific Forum on Sustainable Development of Socio-Economic Systems*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 278–286. <https://doi.org/10.5220/00106673000003223>
- Petrenko, N., & Kiforenko, O. (2020). PRIORITY AREAS OF STATE POLICY IMPLEMENTATION IN THE FIELD OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF RURAL AREAS. *Law and Public Administration*, 2(1), 152–159. <https://doi.org/10.32840/pdu.2020.1-2.22>
- Priamukhina, N., & Kravchyk, Y. (2022). IMPROVING THE TOOLS OF THE STATE ADMINISTRATION OF LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN UKRAINE. *Herald of Khmelnytskyi National University. Economic Sciences*, 310(5), 7–14. [https://doi.org/10.31891/2307-5740-2022-310-5\(1\)-1](https://doi.org/10.31891/2307-5740-2022-310-5(1)-1)
- Rykov, V. V. (2020). STATE POLICY OF ECONOMIC SECURITY GUARANTEES: FOREIGN EXPERIENCE. *Public Management and Administration in Ukraine*, 17, 86–90. <https://doi.org/10.32843/2663-5240-2020-17-15>
- Shainidze, E. (2023). THE PLACE OF STATE TAX ADMINISTRATION OF GEORGIA IN THE FISCAL POLICY AND ITS INFLUENCE ON THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTRY. *MONOGRAPHS*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44. <https://doi.org/10.52340/mng.9789941460227>
- Shestakovska, T. (2021). IMPROVEMENT OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL AND ECONOMIC MECHANISM OF THE STATE HOUSING AND COMMUNAL POLICY. *Law and Public Administration*, 1, 319–327. <https://doi.org/10.32840/pdu.2021.1.47>
- Smyrna, K. (2023). DIRECTIONS OF STATE POLICY IMPROVEMENT IN THE SPHERE OF ENSURING ECONOMIC SECURITY OF UKRAINE. *Public Administration and State Security Aspects*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44. <https://doi.org/10.52363/passa-2023.2-11>
- Suyitno. (2021). METODE PENELITIAN KUALITATIF KONSEP, PRINSIP DAN OPERASIONALNYA. Query date: 2024-05-25 20:59:55. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/auqfr>

- Tang, S. (2022). The New Development Triangle. *The Institutional Foundation of Economic Development*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44, 180–207. <https://doi.org/10.23943/princeton/9780691235561.003.0009>
- Torichniy, V. O. (2020). The conditions of effective development and deployment of the state information policy. *Public Administration and Customs Administration*, 2, 205–209. <https://doi.org/10.32836/2310-9653-2020-2.35>
- Towobola, O. O. (2023). Policy Outcome and Policy Impact: An Appraisal of Government's Road Infrastructure Development Policy in Osun State, Nigeria (2020 – 2022). *Journal of Public Policy and Administration*, Query date: 2024-09-25 20:25:44. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jpaa.20230703.13>
- YEPIFANOVA, I. (2023). ORGANIZATIONAL AND ECONOMIC TOOLS OF STATE POLICY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE HOUSING SECTOR. *Herald of Khmelnytskyi National University. Economic Sciences*, 318(3), 192–198. <https://doi.org/10.31891/2307-5740-2023-318-3-30>
- Zvyagintsev, P. (2023). State programs as a tool for state administration of socio-economic development of Russia. *Management and Business Administration*, 2, 52–65. <https://doi.org/10.33983/2075-1826-2023-2-52-65>